

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



0020-4621P	6995
EXAMINER	
WILSON, DONAL	.DR

FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		0.0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/403,224	MATSUMOTO ET AL
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donald R Wilson	1713
The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2003 FA Therefore, further action by the applicant is final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed No Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	required to avoid abandonment of this y be either: (1) a timely filed amendmen tice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) FR 1.114.	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued
	IOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)	
		date of the final rejection
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from (1) the expiration date (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late extend patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e period of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply originally	it of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under yest in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1 T A Notice of Anneal was filed on	Annellant's Brief must be filed within	the period set forth in

oda (c	R 1.17(a) is calculated from (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for restly originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in w., if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if finely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on, Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

rned	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
M	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
  - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
  - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Other
- 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly. raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
- 7. 

  ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) 

  ☑ will not be entered or b) 

  ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: 9 and 10

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11 and 12

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No (s

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 10, Other:

Applicant's amendment will not be entered because it adds claims not previously considered and would at least belong to the group of daims withdrawn from consideration due to the restriction requirement.

Applicant's continued traversa of the restriction requirement is not deemed to be persuasive and the restriction is maintained for

reasons of record.
Applicant's traversal of the prior art rejections have been carefully considered and are not deemed to be persuasive for reasons o records